arXiv:hep-ph/9905362v2 8 Aug 2000

Gauge Independence of Limiting Cases of One-Loop Electron Dispersion Relation in High-Temperature QED

Indrajit Mitra*
Theory Group, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhannagar,
Calcutta 700064, India

Assuming high temperature and taking subleading temperature dependence into account, gauge dependence of one-loop electron dispersion relation is investigated in massless QED at zero chemical potential. The analysis is carried out using a general linear covariant gauge. The equation governing the gauge dependence of the dispersion relation is obtained and used to prove that the dispersion relation is gauge independent in the limiting case of momenta much larger than eT. It is also shown that the effective mass is not influenced by the leading temperature dependence of the gauge dependent part of the effective self-energy. As a result the effective mass, which is of order eT, does not receive a correction of order e^2T from one loop, independent of the gauge parameter.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the dispersion relation of relativistic electrons in material medium is markedly different from that in vacuum, a fact which makes the study of the former interesting and important. The required calculation is performed within the framework of statistical quantum field theory (SQFT), also known as finite-temperature field theory. In order to deduce the dispersion relation analytically, it is useful to assume the temperature T to be high. It is then found that while the part of the one-loop effective self-energy of the electron (the effective self-energy being the field-theoretic input to the dispersion relation; see Sec. II) leading in T goes like T^2 and is gauge independent, the gauge dependent part goes like T. This shows that the one-loop dispersion relation is gauge independent to leading order in T [1] .

A somewhat similar situation occurs for the one-loop effective self-energy of neutrinos in material medium, which is gauge independent at $O(1/M_W^2)$ but gauge dependent when the $O(1/M_W^4)$ terms are included. However, it has been shown [2] that the one-loop dispersion relation following from the latter is still independent of the gauge parameter. This raises the possibility that the gauge dependence of the part of the one-loop effective self-energy of the electron subleading in T may also not show up in the one-loop dispersion relation. This possibility is explored in the present paper in two different limiting cases. We show that this indeed happens in the $k \gg eT$ limit of the dispersion relation. In the zero-momentum limit we find that the gauge dependence of the part of the effective self-energy going like T does not contribute to the effective mass. This leads to the gauge independent result that the effective mass, known to be of $O(eT)$ to leading order in T [1], receives no $O(e^2T)$ correction from one loop.

We consider the electrons to be massless in vacuum (which is true in the phase of restored chiral symmetry, and a good approximation when T is much larger than the electron mass), and the chemical potential of the background medium to be zero. Our calculation makes use of the real-time formulation of SQFT.

The demonstration of gauge independence of the properties of elementary particles in material medium has turned out to be of extreme importance in SQFT. In particular, the gauge dependence of the gluon damping rate at one loop was a long-standing problem. In Ref. [3], the Ward identities determining the gauge dependence of the gluon dispersion relations were deduced and used to prove gauge independence in a self-consistent perturbative expansion. In Refs. [4] and [5], it was shown that such a consistent expansion requires resummation of the hard thermal loops. The use of this idea finally led to a gauge-independent gluon damping rate to leading order in the coupling constant [6]. It will be seen that the gauge dependence identities of Ref. [3], although dealing with gluons, are still relevant for the case studied by us.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the general form of the fermionic dispersion relation is discussed. In Sec. III, we arrive at the expression for the one-loop effective electron self-energy in a general linear covariant gauge. In Sec. IV, the gauge dependence equation for the one-loop dispersion relation is obtained and the possibility of a generalization of it to all orders is mentioned. Gauge independence of the $k \gg eT$ limit of the one-loop dispersion relation is proved in Sec. V, while gauge independence of the one-loop effective mass is discussed in Sec. VI. We present our conclusions in Sec. VII. Appendices A and D contain some details of the calculations, Appendices B and E clarify certain points, and Appendix C contains a derivation of the formula for the effective mass.

---

*E-mail: indra@tnp.saha.ernet.in

II. GENERAL FORM OF FERMIONIC DISPERSION RELATION

In the real-time formulation of SQFT the free-field propagator, the full or exact propagator and the self-energy are all 2×2 matrices [7]. The poles of the full propagator for a massless fermion of four-momentum K^μ occur at the poles of the function

$$S(K) = [\not{K} - \Sigma(K)]^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where $\Sigma(K)$ is related to the components of the self-energy matrix. Considering the analogy to the vacuum case, we shall call $\Sigma(K)$ the *effective self-energy* of the fermion. It has the general form [1]

$$\Sigma(K) = -a\not{K} - b\not{u} \tag{2}$$

in a medium with four-velocity u^μ. Here a and b are Lorentz-invariant functions of the two Lorentz scalars

$$\omega \equiv K \cdot u, \tag{3}$$

$$k \equiv [(K.u)^2 - K^2]^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

ω and k are the Lorentz-invariant energy and three-momentum respectively, which satisfy

$$K^2 = \omega^2 - k^2. \tag{5}$$

The pole in $S(K)$ is then given by

$$f(\omega, k) \equiv (\omega - k)(1 + a) + b = 0. \tag{6}$$

In proceeding from this equation, one writes [8]

$$\omega = \omega_R - i\frac{\gamma}{2} \tag{7}$$

with real ω_R and γ, where ω_R is the (real) fermion energy and γ the fermion damping rate. Equation (6) is thus a relation between this complex ω and (real) k; the relation between ω_R and k is the fermionic dispersion relation.

Following Ref. [8] let us now make the decomposition

$$a(\omega, k) = a_R(\omega, k) + ia_I(\omega, k) \tag{8}$$

and likewise for b and f. Thus

$$f_R(\omega, k) = (\omega - k)(1 + a_R) + b_R, \tag{9}$$

$$f_I(\omega, k) = (\omega - k)a_I + b_I. \tag{10}$$

Also decompose the function Σ as

$$\Sigma = \mathrm{Re}\Sigma + i\mathrm{Im}\Sigma, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma = -a_R\not{K} - b_R\not{u}, \tag{12}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}\Sigma = -a_I\not{K} - b_I\not{u}. \tag{13}$$

We now consider

$$f_R(\omega_R - i\frac{\gamma}{2}, k) + if_I(\omega_R - i\frac{\gamma}{2}, k) = 0. \tag{14}$$

The damping rate γ is absent at the tree level and arises from loops. So it is useful to perform Taylor expansion of the LHS of (14) in γ about $\omega = \omega_R$, as the powers of γ higher than what is required can be neglected. Keeping upto terms linear in γ and f_I (f_I also arising only from loops), we arrive at [8] the following two real relations from the complex equation (14):

$$f_R(\omega_R, k) = 0 \tag{15}$$

which is the dispersion (ω_R-k) relation to this order, and

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\partial f_R}{\partial \omega}\right]_{\omega=\omega_R} = f_I(\omega_R, k) \tag{16}$$

which (on using (15)) gives γ as a function of k to the same order. When the next higher order correction is incorporated into (15) from (14), we obtain

$$f_R(\omega_R, k) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\partial f_I}{\partial \omega}\right]_{\omega=\omega_R} - \frac{\gamma^2}{8}\left[\frac{\partial^2 f_R}{\partial \omega^2}\right]_{\omega=\omega_R} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Since f_I (see (10)) and hence γ (see (16)) are both of order e^2, the last two terms on the LHS of (17) are of order e^4. The *one-loop* dispersion relation is thus given by (15) and (9). To study it *we need to consider only the Re*Σ *part of* Σ. Therefore (with the exception of Appendix E) *the subscripts in* a_R*,* b_R*,* f_R *and* ω_R *will be dropped henceforth and will be assumed to be understood.*

III. ONE-LOOP EFFECTIVE ELECTRON SELF-ENERGY IN A GENERAL LINEAR COVARIANT GAUGE

ReΣ is related to the 2×2 self-energy matrix by [7]

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma = \mathrm{Re}\Sigma_{11}. \tag{18}$$

Σ_{11} is obtained from the standard QED Feynman diagram for the electron self-energy:

$$\Sigma_{11}(K) = ie^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} D_{\mu\nu}(p)\gamma^\mu S(p+K)\gamma^\nu \tag{19}$$

where the physical ("11") free-particle massless fermion propagator at zero chemical potential is given by

$$S(p) = \not{p}\left[\frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} + 2\pi i \delta(p^2) f_F(p)\right], \tag{20}$$

$$f_F(p) = [e^{|p.u|/T} + 1]^{-1} \tag{21}$$

and the physical ("11") free photon propagator in a general covariant gauge is given by [9]

$$D^{\mu\nu}(p) = D^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{FG}}(p) + D^{\mu\nu}_{\xi}(p), \tag{22}$$

$$D^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{FG}}(p) = -g^{\mu\nu}\left[\frac{1}{p^2 + i\epsilon} - 2\pi i \delta(p^2) f_B(p)\right], \tag{23}$$

$$D^{\mu\nu}_{\xi}(p) = -\xi p^\mu p^\nu \left[\frac{1}{(p^2 + i\epsilon)^2} + 2\pi i f_B(p)\frac{d\delta(p^2)}{dp^2}\right], \tag{24}$$

$$f_B(p) = [e^{|p.u|/T} - 1]^{-1}. \tag{25}$$

Here ξ is the gauge parameter and FG denotes the Feynman gauge ($\xi = 0$). Since the calculation will be performed in the rest-frame of the medium, in the rest of this paper we shall set $p \cdot u = p_0$ in (21) and (25).

Let us write

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^{T=0} + \Sigma'. \tag{26}$$

We now evaluate the real parts of these functions (real in the sense as defined through (12) and (8)) by putting the expressions for the fermion and the photon propagators in (19) and using (18).

First consider the $T = 0$ part:

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma^{T=0} = -a^{T=0}(K^2)\not{K}. \tag{27}$$

The infinity in $a^{T=0}$ (recall that this is to be understood to denote just the real part) is removed by the counter-term of wave-function renormalization, leading to

$$a^{T=0}_{ren}(K^2) = -(1+\xi)\frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2}\ln\frac{K^2}{\sigma^2}. \tag{28}$$

where σ is the renormalization scale. Some details of this are given in Appendix A.

We then turn to the T-dependent part, and write

$$\Sigma' = \Sigma'_{\mathrm{FG}} + \Sigma'_{\xi}. \tag{29}$$

To deal with $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi}$, we make use of

$$\frac{d\delta(p^2)}{dp^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left[\frac{1}{(p^2+i\epsilon)^2} - \frac{1}{(p^2-i\epsilon)^2}\right] \tag{30}$$

and then use the regularization

$$\frac{1}{(p^2 \pm i\epsilon)^2} = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^2}\frac{1}{p^2-\lambda^2 \pm i\epsilon} \tag{31}$$

so that

$$\frac{d\delta(p^2)}{dp^2} = -\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^2}\delta(p^2-\lambda^2). \tag{32}$$

Following the manipulations described in Appendix A, we arrive at

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\mathrm{FG}} = 2e^2\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}[(\not{p}+\not{K})f_B(p) + \not{p}f_F(p)]2\pi\delta(p^2)\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{2p.K+K^2+i\epsilon}, \tag{33}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi} = \mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,F} + \mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}, \tag{34}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,F} = \xi e^2\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}[K^2\not{p} - 2p.K\not{K}]2\pi\delta(p^2)f_F(p)\mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(2p.K+K^2+i\epsilon)^2}, \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B} = \xi\frac{e^2}{2}\int\frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}-1}\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|}&\Bigg[\left(\not{K}+\frac{K^2\vec{p}.\vec{\gamma}}{2|\vec{p}|^2}\right)\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{D_+}+\frac{1}{D_-}\right)\\ &-K^2|\vec{p}|\gamma_0\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1+K_0/|\vec{p}|}{D_+{}^2} - \frac{1-K_0/|\vec{p}|}{D_-{}^2}\right)\\ &+K^2\vec{p}.\vec{\gamma}\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1+K_0/|\vec{p}|}{D_+{}^2} + \frac{1-K_0/|\vec{p}|}{D_-{}^2}\right)\Bigg]\\ -\xi\frac{e^2\beta}{4}\int\frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}}{(e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}-1)^2}\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|^2}&\Bigg[K^2|\vec{p}|\gamma_0\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{D_+}-\frac{1}{D_-}\right)\\ &-K^2\vec{p}.\vec{\gamma}\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{D_+}+\frac{1}{D_-}\right)\Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where, in the last equation,

$$D_{\pm} = K^2 \pm 2|\vec{p}|K_0 - 2\vec{p}.\vec{K} + i\epsilon. \tag{37}$$

While the expressions (33) and (35) are the same as those in Ref. [1] (except that we have carefully incorporated the $i\epsilon$, which will be needed for the calculations in Appendix D), the expression (36) is a different one. We have found this form of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}$ convenient for actually carrying out the integration (this, again, is done in Appendix D).

Let us now investigate the high-T behaviour of (33), (35) and (36). Following Ref. [1], this behaviour can be inferred from the degree of UV (ultraviolet) divergence of the integral in each expression in the absence of the cut-off of $O(T)$ provided by f_B or f_F or $e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}/(e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}-1)^2$. One then finds that $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{FG}$ goes like T^2, while $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,F}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}$ go like T. It may be noted that one cannot obtain the correct high-T behaviour of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}$ without removing the regulator λ, and this can be done only after performing the p_0-integration, as in (36).

At high T, one can neglect the renormalized $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma^{T=0}$ compared to $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'$ [10]. Actually $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma^{T=0}$ depends on the renormalization scale σ, but since the dependence is logarithmic, we can still ignore it vis-a-vis the power law dependences on T in the various parts of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'$. We shall, however, see that it is *not necessary* to neglect $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma^{T=0}$ for proving gauge independence at $k \gg eT$. We shall also use (28) to incorporate the σ-dependence in the equation for the effective mass.

IV. EQUATION GOVERNING GAUGE DEPENDENCE OF DISPERSION RELATION AT ONE LOOP, AND GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Inverting (2), one obtains (recall that we are considering only the $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma$ part)

$$a = \frac{1}{4k^2}[\mathrm{Tr}(\not{K}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma) - \omega\mathrm{Tr}(\not{u}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma)], \tag{38}$$

$$b = \frac{1}{4k^2}[-\omega\mathrm{Tr}(\not{K}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma) + (\omega^2 - k^2)\mathrm{Tr}(\not{u}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma)]. \tag{39}$$

The dispersion relation is obtained by putting a,b in (6). Let us now write the function f in (6) as

$$f = f_{\mathrm{FG}} + f_{\xi}, \tag{40}$$

$$f_{\mathrm{FG}} = (\omega - k)(1 + a_{\mathrm{FG}}) + b_{\mathrm{FG}}, \tag{41}$$

$$f_{\xi} = (\omega - k)a_{\xi} + b_{\xi}. \tag{42}$$

Next using (34), (35) and (36), one readily sees that K^2 factors out from the expression for $\mathrm{Tr}(\not{K}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi})$. Therefore in view of (5), one can write from (39) that

$$b_{\xi} = (\omega - k)(\text{1-loop function of } \omega,k) \tag{43}$$

(note that b, being zero at $T = 0$, is determined by Σ'). Consequently

$$f_{\xi} = (\omega - k)[a_{\xi} + (\text{1-loop function of } \omega,k)]. \tag{44}$$

This is the equation governing the gauge-dependence of the electron dispersion relation at one-loop. This equation is of the form

$$(f_{\xi})_{\text{1-loop}} = f_{\text{tree}} \times [\text{1-loop } \xi\text{-dependent function}]. \tag{45}$$

As we shall see in the next section, *the fact that f_{tree} factors out on the RHS of Eq. (45) is crucial to the proof of gauge independence.*

Ref. [3] contains a general, nonperturbative derivation of the identities determining the gauge dependence of the gluon dispersion relations (see Eqs. (16) and (17) of [3]). To one loop these gauge dependence identities are shown to reduce to relations (see Eq. (20) of [3]) which are analogous to Eq. (45) above. *It is therefore likely that a general gauge dependence identity for the electron dispersion relation, reducing to Eq.* (45) *at one loop, can be derived and used to arrive at a general proof of gauge independence of the dispersion relation* (as in the gluon case). In this paper, however, we confine ourselves to one-loop calculations.

V. GAUGE INDEPENDENCE OF ONE-LOOP DISPERSION RELATION AT MOMENTA MUCH LARGER THAN eT

Since we shall consider $k \gg eT$ in this section, let us first note that for *sufficiently small* e there always exists a domain $eT \ll k \ll T$, so that the restriction $k \gg eT$ does not contradict the high-T approximation.

Retaining only the terms with leading T-dependence in a and b,the following one-loop dispersion relation was obtained in Ref. [1] for $k \gg eT$:

$$\omega = k + \frac{{M_0}^2}{k} - \frac{{M_0}^4}{2k^3} \ln \frac{2k^2}{{M_0}^2} + \cdots \tag{46}$$

where ${M_0}^2 = e^2T^2/8$. The terms on the RHS clearly indicate that the above expansion is valid for $k \gg M_0$ i.e. $k \gg eT$. As shown in Ref. [1] and as we shall see in Sec.VI, M_0 is the leading-order effective electron mass [11] and thus can be considered a measure of finite-temperature effects. With $k \gg eT$ ensuring that the finite-temperature *effects* are small (even though T is large), the equation (6) in the present case has resulted in a deviation from $\omega = k$ by powers of e^2 and of $e^2(\ln(1/e))$ [12]. This can be understood from (6) in view of the fact that a and b are in this case primarily of $O(e^2)$ which may come multiplied with powers of $\ln(1/e)$ (originating from powers of $\ln(\omega - k)$).

The expected general form of the one-loop dispersion relation for $k \gg eT$ *when the terms subleading in* T *are kept* is

$$\omega = k + e^2 f_1(e, k, T) \tag{47}$$

where the e*-dependence of* f_1 *involves only powers of* $\ln(1/e)$. Equation (46) (without the last term on its RHS, which is actually of order $e^4 \ln(1/e)$) is a special case of (47), where f_1 turned out to be totally e-independent. Let us now take (47) to be the relation in the Feynman gauge i.e. assume that it satisfies $f_{\mathrm{FG}} = 0$. Then to prove that (47) is gauge independent we have to show that it also satisfies that $f_{FG} + f_\xi = 0$. It suffices to show that, at (47), f_ξ is of order e^4 (with or without powers of $\ln(1/e)$) [13]. This follows readily from (44), since the portion of the RHS of (44) within the square brackets involves terms of the order of e^2 and $e^2(\ln(1/e))^n$ (n is an integer), and so also does $(\omega - k)$ at (47).

Digressing briefly from *high-temperature* QED, we mention that *the above demonstration of gauge independence is actually sufficient also for the case which involves* not large T but *large momenta*. Thus for $k \gg T$, a one-loop relation of the key form (47) should still hold. Note that the rest of the proof, namely, arriving at (44), did not assume large T (in particular, we did not neglect the vacuum contribution to a). The proof of gauge independence continues to be valid if, in addition, we have a chemical potential μ such that $k \gg \mu$ as well. Then the relevant changes are that f_1 in (47) depends on μ as well, f_F is modified and we have $f_F(-p)$ in place of $f_F(p)$ in (35), but none of these affect the proof outlined above.

VI. GAUGE INDEPENDENCE OF EFFECTIVE MASS AT ONE LOOP

The effective electron mass is the value of ω at $k = 0$. The analysis of the previous section does not prove the gauge independence of the effective mass because the form (47) does not hold near $k = 0$. For example, to leading order in T the one-loop dispersion relation for $k \ll eT$ is [1]

$$\omega = M_0 + \frac{k}{3} + \frac{k^2}{3M_0} + \cdots \tag{48}$$

This suggests that *the leading order values of* a *and* b *are not of* $O(e^2)$ (with or without powers of $\ln(1/e)$) *in this limit*; indeed the values at $k = 0$ are $a = -1/3$ and $b = -2M_0/3$ [14]. In Appendix B we show how this apparently surprising behaviour can be understood in a simple way.

To investigate the gauge dependence of the effective mass M we shall use the following relation [15]:

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{k \to 0, \omega \to M} \mathrm{Tr}[\not{K} \mathrm{Re}\Sigma]. \tag{49}$$

In the rest frame of the medium, the above limit translates to putting $\vec{K} = \vec{0}$ and $K_0 = M$. A careful derivation of (49) in the present context is provided in Appendix C.

On using (26) and (27) in (49), we obtain

$$M^2 = -M^2 a^{T=0}(M^2) + {M'}^2, \tag{50}$$

$${M'}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4} \lim_{k\to 0, \omega\to M} \mathrm{Tr}[\not{K} \mathrm{Re}\Sigma']. \tag{51}$$

At $T = 0$, $M' = 0$ and so (50) is correctly satisfied by $M = 0$.

Putting in (51) the expressions for $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\mathrm{FG}}$, $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,F}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}$ given by (33), (35) and (36), we get

$${M'}^2 = {M'_{\mathrm{FG}}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,F}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B}}^2, \tag{52}$$

$${M'_{\mathrm{FG}}}^2 = 2e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} [(p_0 + M) f_B(p) + p_0 f_F(p)] \delta(p^2) \mathrm{Re} \frac{1}{2p_0 + M + i\frac{\epsilon}{M}}, \tag{53}$$

$${M'_{\xi,F}}^2 = -\xi e^2 M \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} p_0 f_F(p) \delta(p^2) \mathrm{Re} \frac{1}{(2p_0 + M + i\frac{\epsilon}{M})^2}, \tag{54}$$

$${M'_{\xi,B}}^2 = {M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{I})}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{II})}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{III})}}^2, \tag{55}$$

$${M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{I})}}^2 = \frac{\xi e^2 M}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|} \frac{1}{e^{\beta|\vec{p}|} - 1} \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{E_+} + \frac{1}{E_-}\right), \tag{56}$$

$${M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{II})}}^2 = -\frac{\xi e^2 M}{2} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{\beta|\vec{p}|} - 1} \mathrm{Re}\left[\frac{M}{|\vec{p}|}\left(\frac{1}{{E_+}^2} + \frac{1}{{E_-}^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{{E_+}^2} - \frac{1}{{E_-}^2}\right)\right] \tag{57}$$

$${M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{III})}}^2 = -\frac{\xi e^2 M^2 \beta}{4} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{|\vec{p}|} \frac{e^{\beta|\vec{p}|}}{(e^{\beta|\vec{p}|} - 1)^2} \mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{1}{E_+} - \frac{1}{E_-}\right). \tag{58}$$

Here

$$E_\pm = M \pm 2|\vec{p}| + \frac{i\epsilon}{M}. \tag{59}$$

We now investigate the high-T behaviour of the three terms on the RHS of (52). This can be arrived at from the UV behaviour, as explained towards the end of Sec. III. However there is a constraint that each term on the RHS of (52) is an even function of M. (This can be seen by changing p_0 to $-p_0$ together with the change $M \to -M$ in (53), in (54) and in

$${M'_{\xi,B}}^2 = -\xi e^2 M^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} (p_0 M + p^2) f_B(p) \frac{d\delta(p^2)}{dp^2} \mathrm{Re} \frac{1}{p^2 + 2p_0 M + M^2 + i\epsilon}, \tag{60}$$

the last equation having been obtained by putting (A5) in (51).) These considerations, plus dimensional analysis, tell us that at high T, ${M'_{\mathrm{FG}}}^2$ goes like T^2, ${M'_{\xi,F}}^2$ like $M^2(\ln|T/M|)^{n_1}$ and ${M'_{\xi,B}}^2$ like $M^2(\ln|T/M|)^{n_2}$ (n_1,n_2 being positive integers). Since after T^2, the next allowed term in ${M'_{\mathrm{FG}}}^2$ is $M^2(\ln|T/M|)^{n_3}$ (n_3 a positive integer), the general expression for ${M'}^2$ at high T can be written as

$${M'}^2 = e^2\Big[c_0 T^2 + c_3 M^2 \Big(\ln\frac{T}{M}\Big)^{n_3} + \xi M^2 \Big(c_1 \Big(\ln\frac{T}{M}\Big)^{n_1} + c_2 \Big(\ln\frac{T}{M}\Big)^{n_2}\Big)\Big] \tag{61}$$

where c_0,c_1,c_2,c_3 are constants, and we have dropped the modulus of the arguments of the logarithms since T/M is positive. Terms independent of T have been neglected on the RHS of (61).

In principle there could be further constraints ruling out some term(s) in (61), but a detailed calculation, described in Appendix D, reveals that all these terms are indeed present and that $n_1 = 1 = n_2 = n_3$. Thus we actually have the high temperature equation

$$M'^2 = e^2\left(\frac{T^2}{8} + \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{M} + \xi\frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{M}\right). \tag{62}$$

The detailed calculation may also be viewed as a check on the argument involving the degree of UV divergence mentioned before.

Using (28) and (62) in (50), we then have

$$M^2 = e^2\left[\frac{T^2}{8} + (1+\xi)\frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{\sigma}\right]. \tag{63}$$

On the RHS of (63) (as also in (62)), we have not given the term $\sim e^2M^2$; all other terms contributing to the RHS of (63) (and (62)) vanish in the limit of large T/M. It is interesting to note that in (63), the $\ln(M/\sigma)$ term from $\Sigma^{T=0}$ and the $\ln(T/M)$ term from Σ' have exactly combined to yield just a $\ln(T/\sigma)$ term, because it has been observed that similar combination also takes place in the case of the gauge boson self-energy in the Yang-Mills theory [16]. A discussion of similar behaviour in the case of three-point function, and, in general, N-point function of gauge boson in the Yang-Mills theory, is to be found in Ref. [17].

The first important observation from (63) is that $M^2 = e^2T^2/8$ to leading order in T, which is a well-known result [1]. Now, the gauge dependent part of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'$ goes like T. Therefore in view of (49), one would expect an $e^2\xi MT$ term in (63). The absence of such a term shows that the part of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_\xi$ leading in T does not contribute to M.

To quantify the effect of this absence let us consider the equation

$$M^2 = e^2\left(\frac{T^2}{8} + cMT\right) \tag{64}$$

where c is a constant. To leading order in T, the second term on the RHS is negligible, so that $M = O(eT)$. This can now be used as an approximation in the second term, to give

$$M^2 = e^2\frac{T^2}{8}[1 + O(e)] \tag{65}$$

showing that there is a correction of $O(e^2T)$ to M (this conclusion can also be arrived at by solving (64) for M). So the absence of the e^2MT term means that there is no such correction in any linear covariant gauge. It may be noted that the consequences of the presence or absence of various *powers* of T in (63) are to be taken seriously despite the presence of the scale σ, since the σ dependence is only logarithmic and is not multiplied with any power of T.

Finally, (63) shows that only the subleading $\ln T$ dependence of $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma'_\xi$ contributes to M. Using the leading order result $M = O(eT)$ as before to approximate the remaining terms on the RHS of (63) we infer that the ξ-dependence in (63) is $O(e^4T^2)$, apart from the logarithm. As one certainly expects $O(e^4T^2)$ contribution to (63) from two loops, it is possible that the ξ-dependence which we have obtained will be canceled by the two-loop contribution. But it seems that this issue can be decided only by an actual two-loop calculation. It is however clear that an $e^2\xi MT$ term, being of $O(e^3T^2)$, was less likely to be canceled by two-loop contribution. This shows the significance of the absence of such a term.

We end this section by clarifying the following issue. Equation (63) has been obtained from the relation (49) which again is derived from the usual dispersion relation (15) (see Appendix C). In view of the discussion in the first paragraph of this section, one may wonder whether the terms correcting (15), as given in (17), can be neglected even at $k = 0$. In Appendix E, we show that the correction caused by these terms to (63) is indeed negligible.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have carried out an investigation of gauge independence of the high-temperature electron dispersion relation at one loop. While this independence is well-known to leading order in T by virtue of the gauge independence of the effective self-energy at $O(T^2)$, our work takes the subleading T-dependence into account. The analysis has been confined to linear covariant gauges and to the $k \gg eT$ and $k = 0$ limits.

We have obtained an equation which governs the gauge dependence of the one-loop dispersion relation, stressed its analogy with the corresponding equation in the gluon case, and consequently pointed out the possibility of a generalization of our equation to all orders. From this equation obtained by us, the gauge independence in the $k \gg eT$ limit follows in a straightforward way.

We have then shown that the effective mass is not affected by the leading gauge-dependent part of the effective self-energy (going like T) and hence does not receive $O(e^2T)$ correction in any gauge. While the effective mass is found to be influenced by the subleading gauge-dependent part of the self-energy (going like $\ln T$), it is possible that this will be canceled by two-loop contribution.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks Prof. P. B. Pal for useful discussions, and also for going through the manuscript and suggesting improvements.

Appendices

APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF ONE-LOOP EFFECTIVE ELECTRON SELF-ENERGY

In this appendix, we first present some of the steps leading to (28). Dimensional regularization of $\Sigma^{T=0}_{\rm FG}$ in $4-\epsilon'$ dimensions gives

$$a^{T=0}_{\rm FG} = \frac{e^2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{2}{\epsilon'} - \gamma - 1 + \ln(4\pi) - 2\int_0^1 dx\, x \ln\Big(x(1-x)K^2\Big) + O(\epsilon')\right]. \tag{A1}$$

On the other hand, one easily obtains

$$\Sigma^{T=0}_{\xi} = -i\xi e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{1}{(p^2+i\epsilon)^2}\left[\not{p} - \frac{p^2\not{K} + K^2\not{p}}{(p+K)^2+i\epsilon}\right]. \tag{A2}$$

The odd $\not{p}$ term vanishes on integration. Evaluation of the rest leads to

$$a^{T=0}_{\xi} = \frac{\xi e^2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{2}{\epsilon'} - \gamma - 1 + \ln(4\pi) - \int_0^1 dx\, \ln\Big(x(1-x)K^2\Big) + O(\epsilon')\right]. \tag{A3}$$

Finally adding the counter-term to $a^{T=0}$, as fixed by the renormalization condition

$$a^{T=0}_{ren}(K^2 = \sigma^2) = 0, \tag{A4}$$

we arrive at (28).

Next we present the details of the manipulations leading to the equations (33)-(36). The manipulations follow Ref. [1], except for part of the derivation of (36).

To obtain ${\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\rm FG}$, one has to put (20) and (23) in the expression (19) for the self-energy, and consider the relevant terms. Now change p to $-p-K$ in the f_F-containing term for convenience. Finally setting $p^2 = 0$, as allowed by $\delta(p^2)$, yields (33).

Putting (20) and (24) in (19), one arrives at ${\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\xi}$. It contains an f_F-containing part and an f_B-containing part, as indicated in (34). In ${\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,F}$, changing p to $-p-K$ and then setting $p^2=0$ (allowed by the delta function) gives us (35). In ${\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B}$, simplification leads to

$${\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B} = \xi e^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^3} f_B(p)\frac{d\delta(p^2)}{dp^2}\left[\not{p} - (p^2\not{K} + K^2\not{p}){\rm Re}\frac{1}{(p+K)^2+i\epsilon}\right]. \tag{A5}$$

The $\not{p}$ term, being odd, drops out on integration. Now we make use of (32), commute the integration over p_0 with the limit and the differentiation involving λ , and set $p^2 = \lambda^2$ (allowed by the delta function). We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}{\rm Re}\Sigma'_{\xi,B} = \xi e^2 \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^2}\int dp_0 f_B(p)\delta(p^2-\lambda^2)(K^2\not{p} + \lambda^2\not{K})\\ {\rm Re}\frac{1}{K^2+2p.K+\lambda^2+i\epsilon}.\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

After integrating over p_0, the operations involving λ are carried out. While this last step is easily performed for the part proportional to λ^2 (since

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^2}(\lambda^2 f(\lambda^2)) = f(0) \tag{A7}$$

with f denoting a function with finite $\partial f/\partial\lambda^2$ at $\lambda = 0$ [18]), a more tedious algebra is to be worked out for the remaining part, finally giving (36).

APPENDIX B: LEADING ORDER BEHAVIOUR OF a AND b AT ZERO MOMENTUM

In this appendix, we work out the leading order behaviour of a and b at $k = 0$ (the precise values were stated at the beginning of Sec. VI) *without performing a detailed calculation.* Similar arguments will be used in Appendix E.

At leading order a and b are e^2T^2 times some function of ω and k (T^2 being deduced from UV power counting in ReΣ'). Therefore to have the correct dimensions $a_{k=0} \sim e^2T^2/{M_0}^2$ and $b_{k=0} \sim e^2T^2/M_0$, where $M_0 = \omega_{k=0}$ at leading order. Putting these in the dispersion relation (6) at $k = 0$, namely,

$$M_0 + M_0 a_{k=0} + b_{k=0} = 0, \tag{B1}$$

gives us the well-known result [1] $M_0 \sim eT$. Using this, it follows that $a_{k=0}$ is of $O(1)$ and $b_{k=0}$ is of $O(eT)$.

APPENDIX C: FORMULA FOR THE EFFECTIVE MASS

In this appendix, we prove the formula (49) for the effective mass.

The traces Tr($\not{K}$ReΣ) and Tr($\not{u}$ReΣ) are functions of ω and k. The expressions for them are obtained from (27), (28), (33), (35) and (36). First of all we show that these traces are both even functions of k. For the $\Sigma^{T=0}$ part, this is obvious from (5). For the Σ' part, we note that in the rest-frame of the medium, $k = |\vec{K}|$, and $\vec{K}$ can occur in the expressions for the traces only through $\vec{K}.\vec{p}$ and $\vec{K}^2$. Odd power of k can come from $\vec{K}.\vec{p} = k|\vec{p}|\cos\theta$ where θ is the angle between $\vec{K}$ and $\vec{p}$. This is also the only place where θ occurs in the integrand. So changing θ to $\pi - \theta$ together with the change $k \to -k$, we establish the even nature as a function of k.

For small k, therefore, we can write

$$\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(\not{K}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma) = h_0 + h_1k^2 + h_2k^4 + \cdots \tag{C1}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(\not{u}\mathrm{Re}\Sigma) = g_0 + g_1k^2 + g_2k^4 + \cdots \tag{C2}$$

where h_i and g_i are functions of ω. Substitution of the above expressions into (38) and (39) gives

$$a = \frac{1}{k^2}(h_0 - \omega g_0) + (h_1 - \omega g_1) + O(k^2), \tag{C3}$$

$$b = -\frac{\omega}{k^2}(h_0 - \omega g_0) + (-\omega h_1 + \omega^2 g_1 - g_0) + O(k^2). \tag{C4}$$

For the form factors a and b to remain analytic at $k = 0$, the relation

$$h_0 = \omega g_0 \tag{C5}$$

must hold. We now put (C3) and (C4), subject to the constraint (C5), in the dispersion relation (6). Then we use the fact that for any small k, the solution

$$\omega = M + \omega_1 k + \omega_2 k^2 + \cdots \tag{C6}$$

where M and ω_i are constants, must satisfy the dispersion relation. We also expand h_i and g_i in k by first doing a Taylor expansion of them around $\omega = M$ as functions of ω, and then putting (C6). Thus

$$h_i(\omega) = h_i(M) + h'_i(M)\omega_1 k + O(k^2) \tag{C7}$$

with a similar equation for g_i. The equation that now results from the dispersion relation (6) has a series in powers of k alone on the LHS. Equating the coefficient of each power of k separately to zero will determine the constants M and ω_i of (C6). Thus, equating the constant term to zero gives

$$M = g_0(M). \tag{C8}$$

Multiplying both sides by M, we make use of

$$h_0(M) = M g_0(M) \tag{C9}$$

(which follows from (C5)) to finally arrive at

$$M^2 = h_0(M). \tag{C10}$$

This is the formula (49). One can alternatively use (C8) as the formula for the effective mass and end up with the same results.

APPENDIX D: THERMAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE EQUATION FOR THE EFFECTIVE MASS AT HIGH TEMPERATURE

In this appendix we arrive at the equation (62), which expresses the high T contribution to the equation for the effective mass, by explicit calculation of the expressions (53), (54), (56), (57) and (58).

We begin with ${M'_{\text{FG}}}^2$, given by (53). After the p_0 integration is carried out, the integrand is a function of $|\vec{p}|$ alone. Then integrating over the angles trivially, we are left with a one-dimensional integral. On rearrangement and use of the identity

$$f_B(p) - f_F(p) = 2 f_B(2p) \tag{D1}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} {M'_{\text{FG}}}^2 &= \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp\, p[f_B(p) + f_F(p)] \\ &- \frac{e^2 M^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \text{Re} \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2 - i\epsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{D2}$$

Here and elsewhere in this appendix, $f_F(p)$ and $f_B(p)$ denote (21) and (25) with $|p.u|$ replaced by p. Now the first part of (D2) can be evaluated exactly by using

$$\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) = \frac{\pi^2 T^2}{6}, \tag{D3}$$

$$\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_F(p) = \frac{\pi^2 T^2}{12}. \tag{D4}$$

The second part of (D2) involves the integral

$$I \equiv \int_0^\infty dp\, p \frac{1}{e^{p/T} - 1} \text{Pr} \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} \tag{D5}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dx\, x \frac{1}{e^x - 1} \text{Pr} \frac{1}{x^2 - (M/T)^2}. \tag{D6}$$

Here Pr denotes the principal value. The functional dependence of I on T/M was determined on a computer for large T/M, yielding

$$I \approx -\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{T}{M}. \tag{D7}$$

Note that this logarithmic behaviour is in accord with the UV behaviour of (D5) without the distribution function. Thus at high T

$$
{M'_{\rm FG}}^2 = e^2\Big(\frac{T^2}{8} + \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{M}\Big) \tag{D8}
$$

neglecting terms independent of T.

Next we turn to ${M'_{\xi,F}}^2$, given by (54). Integrating over p_0 and the angles, we are led to

$$
\begin{aligned}
{M'_{\xi,F}}^2 = {} & \frac{\xi e^2 M^2}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_F(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{p^2 - \frac{M^2}{4} - \frac{i\epsilon}{2}} \\
& + \frac{\xi e^2 M^4}{32\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_F(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(p^2 - \frac{M^2}{4} - \frac{i\epsilon}{2})^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D9}
$$

Moving on to ${M'_{\xi,B}}^2$, integration over the angles in (56), (57) and (58) lead to

$$
{M'_{\xi,B({\rm I})}}^2 = -\frac{\xi e^2 M^2}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{p^2 - \frac{M^2}{4} - \frac{i\epsilon}{2}}, \tag{D10}
$$

$$
{M'_{\xi,B({\rm II})}}^2 = -\frac{\xi e^2 M^4}{32\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(p^2 - \frac{M^2}{4} - \frac{i\epsilon}{2})^2}, \tag{D11}
$$

$$
{M'_{\xi,B({\rm III})}}^2 = -\frac{\xi e^2 M^2 \beta}{8\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p^2 \frac{e^{\beta p}}{(e^{\beta p}-1)^2} \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{p^2 - \frac{M^2}{4} - \frac{i\epsilon}{2}}. \tag{D12}
$$

Using the identity (D1) we then arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {M'_{\xi,F}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B({\rm I})}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B({\rm II})}}^2 \\
& \quad = -\frac{\xi e^2 M^2}{4\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{p^2 - M^2 - 2i\epsilon} \\
& \quad\quad -\frac{\xi e^2 M^4}{4\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(p^2 - M^2 - 2i\epsilon)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D13}
$$

While the first part again involves (D5), the second part, being ill-defined, is regularized in a way similar to (31):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{(p^2 - M^2 - 2i\epsilon)^2} \\
& = \lim_{\lambda\to M}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^2}\int_0^\infty dp\, p f_B(p) \mathrm{Re}\frac{1}{p^2 - \lambda^2 - 2i\epsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D14}
$$

The integral on the RHS of (D14) is similar to I in (D5) and equals $-\frac{1}{2}\ln(T/\lambda)$ for high T. So the second part of (D13) equals $-\xi e^2 M^2/16\pi^2$ which can be neglected as it is independent of T. Thus we are left with the first part of (D13), so that

$$
{M'_{\xi,F}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B({\rm I})}}^2 + {M'_{\xi,B({\rm II})}}^2 = \frac{\xi e^2 M^2}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{M}. \tag{D15}
$$

In ${M'_{\xi,B({\rm III})}}^2$, we encounter the integral

$$
J \equiv \frac{1}{T}\int_0^\infty dp\, p^2 \frac{e^{p/T}}{(e^{p/T}-1)^2} \mathrm{Pr}\frac{1}{p^2 - {M_1}^2} \tag{D16}
$$

where $M_1 = M/2$. Converting J into a function of T/M_1 alone (as we did in the case of I in (D6)), the functional dependence was found out for large T/M_1 using a computer, which gave $J \approx -\frac{1}{2}$. This constancy again agrees with the expectation from the argument involving the UV behaviour. Alternatively one can note that

$$J = T\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\int_0^\infty dp\, p\frac{1}{e^{p/T}-1}\mathrm{Pr}\frac{1}{p^2-{M_1}^2}. \tag{D17}$$

Then using our knowledge of I (see (D5) and D7)), we obtain $J \approx -\frac{1}{2}$ at large T. Thus ${M'_{\xi,B(\mathrm{III})}}^2$ equals $\xi e^2M^2/16\pi^2$ and can be neglected.

Therefore in the high-T limit, M'^2 receives contribution from (D8) and (D15), thereby leading us to (62).

APPENDIX E: TERMS CORRECTING THE USUAL DISPERSION RELATION : ESTIMATION AT $k = 0$

In this appendix, we estimate the correction to the equation (63) for the effective mass due to the terms in (17) correcting the usual dispersion relation (15).

Since $\omega_R = M$ at $k = 0$, the dispersion relation (17) at $k = 0$ is given by

$$f_R(\omega = M, k = 0) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial M}f_I(M,0) - \frac{{\gamma_0}^2}{8}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial M^2}f_R(M,0) = 0, \tag{E1}$$

where, from (16),

$$\gamma_0 \equiv \gamma(k=0) = 2\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial M}f_R(M,0)\right]^{-1}f_I(M,0). \tag{E2}$$

Now from (9) and (10),

$$f_R(M,0) = M + Ma_R(M,0) + b_R(M,0), \tag{E3}$$

$$f_I(M,0) = Ma_I(M,0) + b_I(M,0). \tag{E4}$$

To leading order in T, $Ma_R(M,0)$ and $b_R(M,0)$ are e^2T^2/M apart from constant factors, as was explained in Appendix B (we shall put $M = M_0$ when we estimate the expressions we are interested in). Next there are a number of possible subleading terms. But we have already worked them out since (63) is just the equation $f_R(M,0) = 0$ multiplied by M (see below (C8)). Thus

$$f_R(M,0) = M - \frac{e^2T^2}{8M} - e^2(1+\xi)\frac{M}{8\pi^2}\ln\frac{T}{\sigma}. \tag{E5}$$

(We would have got just this expression equated to zero in place of (63) if we used (C8) in place of (C10).)

At high temperature $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma$ goes like T. One way of seeing this, which suffices for our purpose, is as follows. $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma$ is given by [7,8]

$$\mathrm{Im}\Sigma(K) = [1-2f_F(K)]^{-1}\mathrm{Im}\Sigma_{11}(K). \tag{E6}$$

For $T \gg \omega$, $T \gg k$ and $\omega^2 - k^2 > 0$ it can be argued in the Feynman gauge that $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma'_{11}$ is of order ω or k, since the integration involved is over two-body phase space [19]. Therefore in such a case $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma_{11}$ is independent of T at leading order. Also for $\omega > 0$ and $T \gg \omega$, $[1-2f_F(K)]^{-1} \approx 2T/\omega$. Clearly, then, for our case ($\omega = M$, $k = 0$, $T \gg M$), $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma$ goes like T. We assume this leading order behaviour to hold in a general gauge, from considerations of gauge independence.

It follows that to leading order in T, $Ma_I(M,0)$ and $b_I(M,0)$ are e^2T apart from constant factors (no extra factor of M required to match dimensions). Hence

$$f_I(M,0) = d_1e^2T + d_2e^2M\Big(\ln\frac{T}{M}\Big)^l \tag{E7}$$

where d_1, d_2 are constants and l is a positive integer (there is no σ, as $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{T=0}$ is finite and not renormalized). Terms independent of T have been dropped in (E7), just as in (E5). From the considerations of the previous paragraph, it easily follows that a subleading $\ln(T/M)$ term cannot in fact arise in $\mathrm{Im}\Sigma$ in the Feynman gauge, but one cannot rule it out in a general gauge.

Now we shall put $M = M_0 = eT/\sqrt{8}$, the leading order value. Using (E5) and (E7), we then get

$$\gamma_0 = d_1 e^2 T + \cdots \tag{E8}$$

from (E2), and also

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial M} f_I(M,0) = d_2 e^2 \Big(\ln \frac{1}{e} \Big)^l + \cdots , \tag{E9}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial M^2} f_R(M,0) = -\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{eT} + \cdots , \tag{E10}$$

where the ellipsis in each equation indicates terms negligible in comparison for $e \to 0$. Finally estimating the correction terms in (E1), we find that on the LHS of (E1), the second term $\sim e^4(\ln(1/e))^l T$ while the third term $\sim e^3 T$. Since the T-independent terms dropped in (E5) are $\sim e^2 M \sim e^3 T$, we are clearly justified in neglecting the second and third terms on the LHS of (E1), as we did in Sec. VI.

---

[1] H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D26**, 2789 (1982).
[2] J. C. D'Olivo, J. F. Nieves and M. Torres, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 1172 (1992).
[3] R. Kobes, G. Kunstatter and A. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2992 (1990).
[4] E. Braaten and R. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 569 (1990).
[5] J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. **B334**, 199 (1990).
[6] E. Braaten and R. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 2156 (1990).
[7] N. P. Landsman and Ch. G. van Weert, Phys. Rep. **145**, 141 (1987); J. F. Nieves, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 4123 (1990).
[8] J. C. D'Olivo and J. F. Nieves, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 2987 (1995).
[9] For derivation of the expression (24) for the gauge dependent part of the photon propagator, see K. L. Kowalski, Z. Phys. **C36**, 665 (1987). This expression has been used by P. Elmfors, D. Persson and B-S. Skagerstam, Nucl. Phys. **B464**, 153 (1996) to prove gauge independence of the strong-field limit of the one-loop electron dispersion relation in a medium with a magnetic field.
[10] H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 2410 (1989).
[11] We reserve the symbol M for the effective electron mass including the subleading T-dependence.
[12] As will be pointed out in the next section, this does not happen for $k \ll eT$.
[13] Similar idea has been used for neutrino dispersion relation in Ref. [2].
[14] See footnote 4 of Ref. [1]
[15] A. Erdas, C. W. Kim and J. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 3901 (1993).
[16] See Appendix of H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D26**, 1394 (1982).
[17] F. T. Brandt and J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 7808 (1997).
[18] In the present case, $\partial f/\partial\lambda^2$ becomes finite on using a regularization similar to the one given in (31).
[19] See Appendix A of Ref. [1]. Similar argument for gauge boson self-energy is to be found in Sec. IIIA of the paper cited in Ref. [16].